Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/530,874	MOESCHL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abraham M. Matthews	1755
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Abraham M. Matthews.	(3)	
(2) Applicant's Rep. Mr. Anthony J. Zelano.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 May 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
regoditorita, diodussou.		
Claims discussed:		•
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Whay Mille 15/29/07.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made a courtesy telephone call to Applicant's representative attorney of record, Mr. Anthony Zelano to confirm if the Application # 10/530,874 has been abondoned by the Applicant, since the statutory period for reply to the Office Action mailed 11/24/2006 has already expired. Mr Zelano confirmed that said Application has been abandoned...